

LEO GOLDSTEIN

AH@DEFYCCC.COM • (408) 921-1110 • AUSTIN, TX • DEFYCCC.COM

September 28, 2016

David Drummond
Senior Vice President, Chief Legal Officer, and Secretary
Alphabet, Inc.
Google, Inc.
1600 Amphitheatre Parkway
Mountain View, CA 94043
United States

Dear Mr. Drummond:

This letter is related to the case *5:16-cv-00211-C Goldstein v. Climate Action Network et al*, a lawsuit which I have filed before the United States District Court of the Northern District of Texas. Alphabet, Inc. and Google, Inc. (together, "Google") appear to participate, possibly unwittingly, in a large-scale criminal enterprise, as well as other criminal activities described in the referenced lawsuit. Please note that that the lawsuit is filed under civil RICO, and the defendants are accused of participating in managing an illegal enterprise through a pattern of racketeering activity, including tampering with witnesses, attempting to steal up to \$18 Trillion from pension and welfare funds, and other serious crimes. Please consider the Complaint in this lawsuit as Appendix A to this letter. For convenience, the alleged enterprise and its allies are referred here as the Climate Alarmism Establishment ("CAE").

Here are some examples of Google's apparent participation:

1. A Google search for *climate change* prominently displays a **manually inserted** propaganda blurb from *takepart.com*, and a link to a page on that website (see enclosed Exhibit 1.) This blurb contains factually false information. Further, *takepart.com* is a media accessory of the CAE, participating in tampering with witnesses, ongoing embezzlement from the pension funds, and other crimes.
2. Google searches for the names of prominent scientists, who have truthfully testified as expert witnesses before Congress and authorized government agencies on the subjects of

the climate debates, return **organic results** that are not only defamatory but also intentionally designed to retaliate against these witnesses, and intimidate other potential witnesses. Many of these pages are from such junk sites as *desmogblog.com*, *skepticalscience.com*, and *sourcewatch.org*.

- a) *Desmogblog.com* publishes what it calls a “global warming deniers database,” which was used to advocate the murder of these distinguished scientists and other individuals targeted by this database.
- b) *Skepticalscience.com* is a website founded by unemployed Australian cartoonist John Cook, and initially promoted by Al Gore and the government of Qatar through *Al Jazeera*. Some of the most vocal opponents of the climate alarmism were Holocaust survivors. In an apparent attempt to mock them by comparison to the Holocaust deniers, climate alarmists regularly call their opponents “climate deniers.” *Skepticalscience.com* went further than the rest by publishing pictures of its founder and his accomplices in Nazi uniforms. While on this topic: Rajendra Pachauri, the Chairman of the infamous Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (2002-2015), is on the record saying “maybe what Hitler did was the right thing” (<https://archive.is/m2izl>).
- c) *Sourcewatch.org* is another worthless and deplorable website, interlinked with the previous two.

3. Among the prominent scientists who have been defamed and retaliated against for attending as witnesses and giving truthful testimony in official proceedings are:

Prof. Fred Singer (b. 1924) – a distinguished physicist and science administrator, the first director of the National Weather Satellite Service (1962–64), Vice Chairman of the National Advisory Committee for Oceans and Atmosphere (NACOA) (1981–86), and Chief Scientist of the U.S. Department of Transportation (1987– 89). Prof. Singer theoretically predicted the existence of the Earth’s radiation belt, later confirmed by observations. Prof. Singer designed satellites and their sensors, and was one of the visionaries behind GPS.

Prof. William Happer (b. 1939) – a distinguished physicist, and Director of Energy Research at the Department of Energy (DOE) from 1990 to 1993, where he supervised all of the DOE’s work on climate change, until being fired by Al Gore.

Prof. Richard Lindzen (b. 1940) – a distinguished physicist, Alfred P. Sloan Professor of Meteorology, Department of Earth, Atmospheric and Planetary Sciences at MIT. Lindzen has researched the putative carbon dioxide – climate changes connection since at least 1979. His theoretical calculation of the low sensitivity of surface temperatures to the carbon dioxide was experimentally confirmed in the 1990's.

Dr. Willie Soon, a distinguished astrophysicist.

Dr. Roy Spencer, a distinguished meteorologist and a co-developer (with Dr. John Christy) of the satellite temperature measurements system.

Dr. John Christy, a distinguished climatologist and a co-developer (with Dr. Roy Spencer) of the satellite temperature measurements system.

When Google intentionally displays defamatory or retaliatory text or directs an Internet visitor to a web page containing such text, each instance might be considered aiding or abetting somebody in a crime of retaliation against a witness (per 18 U.S. Code § 1513) and tampering with a witness (per 18 U.S. Code § 1512). Please take note that these web pages are not individual acts of speech, but parts of a coordinated (or even centralized) campaign to retaliate against witnesses by inflicting bodily injuries (as defined in 18 U.S. Code § 1515) on them, including but not limited to inciting 3rd parties to engage in physical violence against them.

4. Several other prominent scientists, now deceased, are still being defamed in order to intimidate other witnesses and potential witnesses in official proceedings:

Prof. Frederick Seitz (1911-2008) – a distinguished physicist, the President of the National Academy of Science from 1962 to 1969, and the President of Rockefeller University from 1968 to 1978, where he supervised a Nobel Prize winning biomedical study that led to the discovery of prions (the cause of the “mad cow” disease).

Prof. William Nierenberg (1919-2000), a distinguished physicist and the Chairman of the Carbon Dioxide Assessment Committee (1979-1983), the first scientific body that conducted a systematic investigation of possible links between anthropogenic emission of carbon dioxide, other infrared absorbing gases, and climate changes. From 1980 until his death in 2000, he was the most knowledgeable person in the world on the science of the climate debates.

Each search described above was performed in Texas, on a laptop computer after clearing the browser cookies, within the last two weeks. These cases are brought forth as examples. There are probably many more cases of unwitting aid by Google to illegal and/or hostile climate alarmism activities.

Alarmist web sites, including but not limited to those mentioned above, contain some obviously bizarre lies. For example, alarmists falsely insinuate that Prof. Frederick Seitz received \$45M from cigarettes manufacturer RJ Reynolds in exchange for allegedly “spreading doubt” about tobacco. Both parts of this claim are lies. In fact, Prof. Frederick Seitz did not receive this money, but supervised life-saving medical research funded by this money. Further, he explicitly refused to testify favorably to the tobacco companies about cigarette smoking. This fact is known to CAE, whose media accessories frequently quote some employee of RJ Reynolds who wrote in 1989 to his supervisors, “[Frederick Seitz] is quite elderly and not sufficiently rational to offer advice” (<https://archive.is/R4o3n>). Obviously, the quoted statement is *lawyerspeak* for “refused to support our position.” Prof. Frederick Seitz was active in science and public life for nineteen years after 1989, and retained rationality and a clear mind until his death.

Notably, long after the research initiated and partly supervised by Prof. Frederick Seitz discovered the cause of “mad cow” (Creutzfeldt-Jakob) disease, British politician John Gummer refused to believe in the existence of that disease. As “scientific proof,” he attempted to feed his daughter a beef burger, as can be seen in the video at http://www.gettyimages.com/detail/video/minister-of-agriculture-john-selwyn-gummer-tries-to-force-news-footage/1B03452_0003. Later Mr. Gummer became an environmental minister and, being an ardent climate alarmist, currently serves on the British Commission on Climate Change.

Why would Google want to align itself with creatures like Pachauri, Gummer, or Michael Mann (of the hockey stick infamy), and against such scientists as Frederick Seitz, Roger Revelle, and Nobel Laureates in Physics Ivar Giaever and Robert Laughlin?

I anticipate that some people at Google will dismiss this criticism of the organic search results by saying that these results are outcome of objective or neutral algorithms. Google is legally responsible for the content and links it shows to the public, even if they are generated by algorithms. But there is also evidence that Google search algorithms became artificially tuned to the benefit of CAE through Google’s actions and/or failures to act.

A large set of alarmist websites make up a self-referential cluster, or a very big link farm. Many these sites have no independent editorial oversight, participate in paid link schemes or affiliation tactics, and/or have automatically generated or scraped content. The CAE utilizes multiple subordinated and/or coordinating entities, some of which operate multiple front groups or even hierarchies of the front groups. This complicates the task of recognizing the misbehavior of the alarmist websites. Nevertheless, some mistakes by Google might have contributed to the problem:

- A. Google employs many brilliant software engineers and computer scientists, but very few meteorologists, atmospheric physicists, geophysicists, biologists, or other experts on climate-related science. It is incomprehensible that Google made up its mind on these scientific topics without consulting real scientists, or even acted contrary to their advice.
- B. Google might have not provided its mathematicians and computer scientists sufficient opportunity to determine even the mathematical validity and software quality (or lack thereof) of the so-called “climate models.”
- C. For its search engine, Google might have selected a seed list of what it considers highly trusted websites, including blatantly one-sided alarmist websites. Some of the prominent suspects, adhering to the CAE, are:
 - a. *theguardian.com* and *guardian.co.uk* – websites of the British tabloid *The Guardian*, which has long been financed by the British government.
 - b. *BBC.com* and *BBC.co.uk*, a broadcasting corporation chartered by the same British government.
 - c. Sites from the domain *.gov*, including sub-domains *nasa.gov*, *noaa.gov*, *climate.gov*, *globalchange.gov*, and *epa.gov*. These sites are currently controlled by the Obama administration and are being illegally used for establishing climate cult as the state religion.
 - d. Some sites from the domain *.edu*, for the same reason.
 - e. Websites of the UN organizations.
- D. Google algorithms might have mistaken the similarity of the messages from multiple alarmist websites (like “scientific consensus,” “97% of scientists agree” etc.) as a sign of trustworthiness, rather than of collusion or even central control.

- E. Google might have recommended its manual reviewers to use unreliable (including *Wikipedia*) or alarmist sources on the subjects of the climate debates. In any case, it is unlikely that Google reviewers could make the right call on the science underlying the climate debates.
- F. Google might have allowed its employees, blindly following CAE dogma or even compensated by the CAE, to set its policy on the subjects of CAE interest.
- G. Google might have been unable to eliminate influence by foreign-controlled websites on the search results on political subjects in the US, including the subjects of the climate debates.
- H. Google management might have been blind to these mistakes because of the presumably leftist political persuasion of the majority of its employees, causing confirmatory bias.

Google seems to be an unwitting part of a vicious cycle (or an echo-chamber), where high placement of the alarmist websites in Google results leads people to trust them, to repeat their false messages, and to link to them. You can learn more about some elements of the climate debates from <http://defyccc.com/doc/climate-intro.pdf>. Likewise, if you cannot find reliable information on the subjects of the climate debates on your own search engine, you are welcome to use mine: <http://defyccc.com/search>.

I believe that Google has been aiding the climate alarmists unintentionally and unwittingly, and strongly recommend that it cease doing so in the US as soon as practical after receiving this letter (approximately 5-10 days.)

Respectfully,

[signed]

Leo Goldstein

Appendix A: Plaintiff's Complaint in *5:16-cv-00211-C Goldstein v. Climate Action Network et al*, pending before the United States District Court of the Northern District of Texas. Available through Pacer and from <https://www.heartland.org/template-assets/documents/publications/Lubbock%20Binder.pdf>.

Appendix B: a 1992 article *Global Warming: The Origin and Nature of the Alleged Scientific Consensus*, by Prof. Richard Lindzen, available from <http://object.cato.org/sites/cato.org/files/serials/files/regulation/1992/4/v15n2-9.pdf>.

Enclosed:

Exhibit 1: Screenshot of Google search results for *climate change*. Marked as *DL Exhibit 1*.

Exhibits 2-9: Screenshots of Google search results for names of the cited scientists. Unmarked.